



Reeve, E. J., Sutton, D., Friend, E. J., & Warren-Smith, C. M. R. (2017). Documenting the prevalence of hiatal hernia and oesophageal abnormalities in brachycephalic dogs using fluoroscopy. *Journal of Small Animal Practice*, 58(12), 703-708.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jsap.12734>, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsap.12734>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):

[10.1111/jsap.12734](https://doi.org/10.1111/jsap.12734)

[10.1111/jsap.12734](https://doi.org/10.1111/jsap.12734)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)

PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Wiley at <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jsap.12734/abstract>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

1 **ABSTRACT**

2
3 **Objectives:** To report the prevalence of abnormal fluoroscopic findings in
4 brachycephalic dogs presenting to a referral hospital for obstructive airway
5 syndrome.

6
7 **Methods:** Hospital records between May 2013 and November 2015 identified 36
8 brachycephalic dogs investigated for obstructive airway disease: 21 French
9 bulldogs, 6 bulldogs, 4 Boston terriers, 2 pugs, 2 boxers and 1 shih tzu. The
10 presence or absence of hiatal hernia, delayed oesophageal transit, gastro-
11 oesophageal reflux, and redundant oesophagus were recorded.

12
13 **Results:** 16 dogs had hiatal hernia, all of which were French Bulldogs. 31 dogs
14 had delayed oesophageal transit time, 27 had gastro-oesophageal reflux and 4
15 had redundant oesophagus.

16
17 **Clinical Significance:** The prevalence of hiatal hernia is higher than expected in
18 the French bulldog and there was a high prevalence of oesophageal disease in
19 this group in general. These results suggest a need to investigate similar cases
20 for evidence of gastrointestinal disease that may also require attention.

21
22 **Keywords:** Fluoroscopy, brachycephalic, hiatal hernia
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

INTRODUCTION

The oesophageal hiatus lies between the diaphragmatic crura and transmits the oesophagus, its vascular supply and the dorsal and ventral vagus trunks. It has a thicker muscular border compared to the rest of the diaphragm. (Evans *et al.*, 2012).

Hiatal hernia is defined as the protrusion or displacement of abdominal organs or structures through the oesophageal hiatus into the mediastinum. Four types of hiatal hernia have been documented in dogs. Type I (sliding hiatal hernia) occurs when the abdominal oesophagus and part of the stomach displace cranially through the oesophageal hiatus resulting in the gastro-oesophageal junction lying within the thoracic cavity. (Rahal *et al.*, 2003). Type II is defined as a paraoesophageal hernia in which the caudal oesophageal sphincter remains in place at the hiatus and part of the stomach herniates adjacent to this into the mediastinum. Type III has characteristics of both type I and II with concurrent axial and paraoesophageal herniation. (Williams, 1990). Type IV has only been reported once and is similar to type II or III but involves other organs (liver, stomach, small intestine) herniating adjacent to the oesophagus (Washabau, 2012). The type 1 sliding hiatal hernia is the most common form documented in dogs. (Llabres-Diaz *et al.*, 2008)

Hiatal hernias may be either congenital or acquired. Congenital hernias have previously been reported in the Chinese shar pei, bulldog, French bulldog and chow chow breeds. (Callan *et al.*, 1993), (Washabau, 2005), (Poncet *et al.*, 2005), (Cornell, 2011), (Washabau, 2012). Congenital hernias occur due to a developmental abnormality of the oesophageal hiatus or phrenicoesophageal ligament. (Jergens, 2010). This then allows cranial displacement of abdominal structures into the thorax as described above.

59 There is relatively scant information within the veterinary literature regarding
60 acquired hiatal hernias; they have been reported secondary to diaphragmatic
61 repair, trauma, an oesophageal or upper respiratory tract disease or a
62 neuromuscular disorder. (Llabres-Diaz *et al.*, 2008). In human medicine one
63 widely accepted theory is that an abnormal increase in abdominal pressure
64 increases the pressure gradient between the abdominal and thoracic cavities
65 resulting in the displacement or “pushing up” of the gastro-oesophageal junction
66 through a normal hiatus. There is no supporting experimental evidence for this
67 theory despite its wide acceptance. (Christensen *et al.*, 2000).

68
69 This pressure differential is compounded when combined with an abnormal
70 inspiratory effort, resulting in abnormally low intrathoracic pressure, and has
71 been proposed as sufficient to induce a hiatal hernia. (Bright *et al.*, 1990),
72 (Lecoindre *et al.*, 2004), (Pratschke *et al.*, 1998), (Sivacolundhu *et al.*, 2002). A
73 hiatal hernia has been documented in cases of abnormal respiratory effort such
74 as laryngeal paralysis and following repair of chronic diaphragmatic hernia.
75 (Bright *et al.*, 1990), (Pratschke *et al.*, 1998).

76
77 Documented sequelae to chronic hiatal hernia includes gastro-oesophageal reflux
78 which allows either ingesta or fluid from the stomach to pass retrogradely into the
79 oesophagus. Oesophagitis is a common sequela because of exposure to gastric
80 acid, pepsin, trypsin, bile salts and duodenal bicarbonate and may in turn lead to
81 reduced oesophageal motility and, in severe cases, oesophageal stricture. The
82 severity of the oesophagitis will relate to the frequency and content of the reflux.
83 (Washabau, 2012). It is therefore advantageous to diagnose and manage cases
84 as early as possible.

85
86 Diagnosis of a hiatal hernia may occasionally be made on plain radiography;
87 radiographic findings include identification of a rounded soft tissue opacity or gas

filled viscus in the caudodorsal thorax overlying the diaphragm. This diagnosis may be aided with barium contrast radiography which makes the delineation of the gastric and oesophageal margins clearer. Videofluoroscopy is more useful than plain radiography in cases in which the hernia is intermittent and can also be used to document gastro-oesophageal reflux, hypomotility and oesophageal redundancy, (Washabau, 2005), (Cornell, 2011), (Washabau, 2012), (Llabres-Diaz *et al.*, 2008). Endoscopy may document concurrent oesophagitis, gastro-oesophageal reflux and findings consistent with a hiatal hernia such as cranial displacement of the caudal oesophageal sphincter and a large oesophageal hiatus (Washabau, 2005; Cornell, 2011). However, recently it has been suggested that endoscopy may fail to diagnose some cases of gastro-oesophageal junction disorders because of the effects of endotracheal intubation and anaesthesia (Vangrinsven *et al.*, 2015). This study also demonstrated that obstruction of the endotracheal (ET) tube improves the detection of abnormalities of the gastro-oesophageal junction. Detection can be improved by using fluoroscopy in fully conscious animals in which there will be normal airway pressures and provides valuable information for the likelihood of reflux in brachycephalic patients with increased risk at general anaesthesia. (Brodelt *et al.*, 2008)

The aim of this current study is to report the prevalence of hiatal hernia in brachycephalic breeds presenting to referral hospital for treatment of brachycephalic obstructive airway syndrome (BOAS).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Diagnostic imaging records of fluoroscopy barium swallow studies performed on brachycephalic breed dogs at Small Animal Hospital Langford Vets, University of Bristol over a retrospective 30-month period between May 2013 and November 2015 were selected for review.

The routine protocol for a barium swallow study was as follows: i) the dog stands with food placed in front of them in a raised food bowl; ii) room temperature food coated in barium sulphate powder (Vet-Way Ltd) or 5ml Iohexol 300mg I/ml (Omnipaque, GE Healthcare) was offered; iii) dogs were offered soft food and kibble on separate occasions; the amount and specific brand of each food type was varied and tailored to patient appetite.

The food boluses were tracked from the oropharynx through to the stomach using videofluoroscopy with a frame rate of 8 frames per second. Assessment of oral, pharyngeal, oesophageal and gastro-oesophageal phases were included. In all studies routine application of pressure to the abdomen using paddles was applied if no hiatal hernia was documented on the initial images. This application of pressure was done immediately prior to screening; in order to comply with radiation safety this was only done if the person applying pressure was safely away from the primary beam. Two observers were always present: a radiographer and a radiologist (either a radiology resident or a board-certified diplomate). Video loops were saved for further review.

The videofluoroscopy studies for all of the patients were reviewed using proprietary software (Visbion Image Viewer, Visbion, Chertsey, Surrey, UK) by 2 reviewers: 1 board-certified radiologist (CWS) and 1 second year radiology resident (LR) The studies were reviewed with no knowledge of the signalment, presenting complaint or original study diagnosis. Agreement by consensus was reached for every patient. The original reports of the fluoroscopy studies were later reviewed to determine whether abdominal pressure had been used to identify a hiatal hernia.

Studies were assessed for the presence of a hiatal hernia, oesophageal redundancy, gastro-oesophageal reflux and poor oesophageal motility. These

were defined as follows (following Gaschen, 2012). *Hiatal hernia* was diagnosed if any portion of the stomach (usually the fundus) protruded cranial to the diaphragm accompanied by cranial movement of the remainder of the stomach towards the diaphragm. This is detected on videofluoroscopy by seeing the soft tissue opacity wall of the stomach as a separate structure located within the thorax cranial to the diaphragmatic crura at a level between the aorta and the caudal vena cava. *Gastro-oesophageal reflux* was defined as retrograde motion of the positive contrast-soaked ingesta from the stomach to the oesophagus. *Poor motility* was defined as failure of the bolus to progress smoothly and rapidly along the oesophagus due to either bolus retention at any location in the oesophagus after more than 2 subsequent swallow attempts, retrograde movement of the bolus of greater than 10 cm, or increased transit time defined as more than 10 s. *Oesophageal redundancy* was defined as a focal dilation of increased diameter or distension of the oesophagus cranial to, or at the level of, the thoracic inlet. This was delineated during videofluoroscopy study with focal accumulation of the positive contrast medium-soaked food.

RESULTS

Forty-one fluoroscopy barium swallow studies were identified, of 41 different dogs. The studies were graded as adequate or poor quality. Four studies were graded as being of poor quality due to reluctance or refusal to eat or too much movement of the patient, all such studies were discarded. Additionally, 1 study was discarded because it was not available for review. Three studies had required a repeat attempt either later the same day or on a different date to obtain a diagnostic quality fluoroscopy barium swallow. In all, therefore, 36 dogs met the inclusion criteria.

The breed, age and gender of the dogs are presented in Table 1. The findings from the study included delayed oesophageal transit time or reduced oesophageal

motility, gastro-oesophageal reflux, hiatal hernia, and a redundant oesophagus, are also presented in Table 1.

For all cases the concurrent gastro-intestinal symptoms were chronic regurgitation of food, water, or both. This was most often reported to occur either during, or immediately after, exercise. Occasionally there were reports of intermittent vomiting in addition to regurgitation. Case 2 additionally had episodes of nasal discharge which were presumed most likely to be due to regurgitation into the nasopharynx, although this patient only had findings of delayed transit on the fluoroscopy study. For the dogs that had presented for a fluoroscopy study primarily for gastroesophageal disease (Cases 1, 5, 7, 9, 10, and 36), 5 were due to the primary clinical complaint of regurgitation and vomiting, 1 (Case 5, boxer) was investigated because of concurrent myositis and concern for oesophageal dysmotility.

(Insert table 1)

Of the 36 dogs, 16 had hiatal hernias, all of which were French bulldogs, 1 of these (Case 6) had abdominal compression used, the others demonstrated a hernia without the need to apply compression. Thirty-one had delayed oesophageal transit time, 27 had gastro-oesophageal reflux and 4 had redundant oesophagus (which, when present, was seen at the thoracic inlet in all cases). Nearly all of the dogs demonstrated more than one abnormality. (See Table 1). 14/16 dogs with hiatal hernias had concurrent evidence of gastro-oesophageal reflux seen on fluoroscopy.

Insert figures 1A, 1B and 2

DISCUSSION

The results of this study suggest that hiatal hernia is common in brachycephalic dogs that present primarily with clinical signs of brachycephalic obstructive airway disease and that the prevalence is higher than has previously been reported.

204 Congenital hernias have previously been reported in the Chinese shar pei,
205 bulldog, French bulldog and chow chow breeds. (Callan *et al.*, 1993), Washabau,
206 2005), (Cornell, 2011), (Poncet *et al.*, 2005), (Washabau, 2012). However many
207 of the previous studies suggesting possible breed predisposition were based on
208 very small numbers of dogs (n=7) or are individual case reports (n=2) A case
209 study in 2005 documented only 20 previous reports of sliding hiatal hernias in the
210 veterinary literature (Kirkby *et al.*, 2005).

211
212 It is known that many BOAS-affected dogs have gastrointestinal signs, and the
213 majority (30/36) of the brachycephalic dogs in this study primarily presented for
214 surgical treatment of obstructive airway disease because of typical clinical signs,
215 such as upper respiratory obstruction, collapse, exercise intolerance or inability to
216 cope with heat or stressful events. They were not referred for a gastrointestinal
217 disorder but, during history taking, there was evidence of gastrointestinal disease
218 in each case. This was most commonly regurgitation, often during or after
219 exercise, and therefore a fluoroscopy swallowing study was indicated. A previous
220 study of brachycephalic dogs presenting for respiratory signs demonstrated a
221 97.3% prevalence of gastrointestinal tract clinical findings including oesophageal
222 deviation, distal oesophagitis, gastro-oesophageal reflux, hiatal hernia, gastritis,
223 and duodenitis based on clinical evaluation, endoscopic and histologic
224 examination (Poncet *et al.*, 2005). It also documented a significant relationship
225 between the severity of the respiratory and digestive signs for 3 of their
226 independent variables: French Bulldogs, males and heavy brachycephalic dogs..
227 A second study revealed improvement in gastrointestinal disorders following
228 upper respiratory tract surgery and gastrointestinal medical management in
229 91.4% of 51 dogs. (Poncet *et al.*, 2006).

230
231 Poncet *et al.* (2005) described 73 dogs, of which 49 were French bulldogs of which
232 only 3 were found to have a hiatal hernia (6%). Poncet *et al.* (2006) concerned 61

dogs, of which only 2 of 42 French bulldogs were diagnosed with hiatal hernia (5%). Neither of these studies used fluoroscopy as a diagnostic test for hiatal hernia. It appears possible that these 2 studies may have underestimated the prevalence of the condition in this brachycephalic breed based on comparison with the results we report here (16/21 [76%] French bulldogs diagnosed with hiatal hernia).

The most common findings in the current group of dogs were delayed oesophageal transit time (31 of 36 dogs) and gastroesophageal reflux (27 of 36 dogs). Normal swallowing is a combined voluntary and reflex action which, once initiated, should propel food to the stomach via 3 phases. The initial oral voluntary phase is bolus formation and presentation to the pharynx. The second pharyngeal phase is the initiation of the reflex swallow. The soft palate is pulled dorsally and the palatopharyngeal folds medially, the epiglottis moves forward to cover the larynx and the cranial oesophageal sphincter relaxes. The food is propelled to the oesophagus due to forcible constriction of the dorsal pharyngeal muscles. This second phase should occur in less than 1 second. The third phase, also involuntary and under partial control of the swallowing centre, is constriction of the cranial oesophageal sphincter after the bolus has passed, followed by initiation of a primary peristaltic wave which should traverse the oesophagus in 10 seconds. If this is insufficient to propel the bolus to the stomach a secondary peristaltic wave is initiated. During the primary peristaltic wave the caudal oesophageal sphincter will relax allowing food to enter the stomach and should constrict after passage of the food. (Gengler, 2010).

Delayed oesophageal transit time is a result of a reduced coordination or poor bolus passage. A delayed maturation of the oesophagus has been proposed as a mechanism for delayed oesophageal transit time in dogs under 1 year of age. (Bexfield *et al.*, 2006). In the current study 6 dogs were under 1 year of age, but

only 4 of these displayed delayed transit. The remaining 27 dogs with delayed transit were over 1 year old, therefore delayed maturation must be considered an unlikely cause of reduced motility. Additionally, in the current study 2 of the 4 dogs under 1 year of age with delayed transit also had a hiatal hernia, which is always an abnormal finding.

The 2 boxers were older (72 and 116 months) than the majority of the other included dogs, and also had additional presenting signs: 1 had generalised weakness and the other had diarrhoea in addition to regurgitation. Therefore, although they were included within our retrospective search criteria, they would be unlikely to have the same pathophysiology of hiatal hernia development compared to the other individuals.

The identification of reflux and regurgitation is important because it increases risk of post-operative aspiration, which is already an increased risk in dogs undergoing upper airway surgery. (Ovbey *et al.*, 2014), (Davies *et al.*, 2015). Therefore prior knowledge regarding the severity of oesophageal disease could allow for improved assessment of anaesthetic risk.

There are no reports in the veterinary literature regarding the relative sensitivities and specificities of each diagnostic modality for hiatal hernia. This may be in part due to the fact that a gold standard diagnosis at surgery or *post mortem* is infrequently achieved. Given the intermittent nature of the sliding hiatal hernia we would support previous statements that fluoroscopy during feeding of a barium meal is more sensitive than radiography. However small hiatal hernias are still likely to be overlooked due to superimposition of other structures (Kahrilas *et al.*, 2008). The sensitivity of fluoroscopy in humans for diagnosis of hiatal hernia is improved when abdominal pressure is increased during the examination (normally in the form of the Valsalva manoeuvre) and we

291 have found that increasing intra-abdominal pressure using paddles or hands
292 either side of the abdomen immediately prior to fluoroscopic image acquisition
293 can aid recognition of herniation in dogs. The application of abdominal pressure is
294 not a technique that has been validated in the veterinary literature and is
295 performed at our institution only if a hiatal hernia has not been seen already. Due
296 to the retrospective nature of this study in the cases in which abdominal pressure
297 was used, it was not possible to determine which dogs demonstrated a hiatal
298 hernia after increased abdominal pressure but not before. However, the use of
299 paddles was recorded, and only 1 dog found to have a hiatal hernia was
300 diagnosed subsequent to their use. Given that only 1 of the dogs with a hiatal
301 hernia required the use of paddles the technique, although historically used if a
302 hernia has not been seen at the authors institution, may be less rewarding than
303 we had previously perceived. Similar comments regarding the variability of the
304 usefulness of increasing the abdominal pressure to diagnose a hernia is
305 mentioned in previous literature. (Suter *et al.*, 1984).

307 It is also notable that, being often intermittent in nature, hiatal hernia may occur
308 but not be detected during a study, especially in non-cooperative subjects. It can
309 therefore be assumed that our estimation of the prevalence may also likely be an
310 underestimate. We find a short duration of starvation, such as 12 hours prior to
311 the procedure, improves the likelihood of patient cooperation and eating for the
312 fluoroscopy study as does the use of a food type familiar to the patient. The
313 radiation dose to personnel must be considered and the correct personal radiation
314 protection and safety measures taken. A disadvantage of fluoroscopy as a
315 diagnostic test is the availability and cost of equipment, which is often only
316 available in referral centres.

318 Endoscopy has the advantage that it does not involve ionising radiation but it
319 requires anaesthesia and, in human medicine, there are documented difficulties in

320 diagnosing hiatal hernia due to the difficulty in precisely locating the
321 diaphragmatic crura. Additionally insufflation of the stomach may artificially
322 increase the size of a hernia. (Kahrilas *et al.*, 2008). It is possible that in
323 comparison to the Poncet *et al* 2005 and 2006 reports the higher prevalence of
324 hiatal hernias noted in the current study may be in part related to the use of
325 fluoroscopy rather than endoscopy for diagnosis.

326
327 In humans, manometry has also been used to assess the spatial and topographic
328 pressure profiles of the diaphragm and the gastro-oesophageal junction. In
329 comparison with endoscopy, manometry had a significantly higher specificity but
330 both modalities had high proportion of false negatives for diagnosis of sliding
331 hiatal hernia (Khajanchee *et al.*, 2013). Manometry has been performed in dogs
332 and is possible without sedation, but the procedure was reported in
333 mesaticephalic dogs, and there are currently no known reference values (Kempf
334 *et al.*, 2013). Recent work has also shown that the prevalence of hiatal hernias
335 diagnosed during endoscopy is likely artefactually low due to the effects of
336 anaesthesia and intubation mainly because endotracheal intubation negates the
337 pressure changes associated with brachycephalic airway disease (Vangrinsven *et*
338 *al.*, 2015).

339
340 In conclusion, we propose that the prevalence of oesophageal disease and,
341 particularly, the prevalence of hiatal hernia is higher than previously documented
342 in brachycephalic dogs presenting with BOAS, especially in French bulldogs. This
343 is clinically relevant because recognition of the hiatal hernia and oesophageal
344 disease, with appropriate management of secondary oesophagitis, is of benefit to
345 reduce the anaesthesia risk. Further studies are warranted to determine if the
346 prevalence or severity of hiatal hernia is reduced following correction of the
347 obstructive airway syndrome.

348

349 **No conflicts of interest have been declared.**

350

- 351 BEXFIELD, N. H., WATSON, P. J. & HERRTAGE, M. E. (2006), Esophageal
352 dysmotility in young dogs. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*, 20,
353 1314-1318.
- 354 BRIGHT, R. M., SACKMAN, J. E., DENOVO, C., *et al.* (1990), Hiatal Hernia in the
355 Dog and Cat - a Retrospective Study of 16 Cases. *Journal of Small Animal*
356 *Practice*, 31, 244-250.
- 357 BRODBELT, D. C., PFEIFFER, D. U., YOUNG, L. E., *et al.* (2008), Results of the
358 Confidential Enquiry into Perioperative Small Animal Fatalities regarding
359 risk factors for anesthetic-related death in dogs. *Journal of the American*
360 *Veterinary Medical Association*, 233, 1096-1104.
- 361 CALLAN, M. B., WASHABAU, R. J., SAUNDERS, H. M., *et al.* (1993), Congenital
362 Esophageal Hiatal Hernia in the Chinese Shar Pei Dog. *Journal of*
363 *Veterinary Internal Medicine*, 7, 210-215.
- 364 CHRISTENSEN, J. & MIFTAKHOV, R. (2000), Hiatus hernia: A review of evidence
365 for its origin in esophageal longitudinal muscle dysfunction. *American*
366 *Journal of Medicine*, 108, 3S-7S.
- 367 CORNELL, K. (2011). Ch 91; Veterinary Surgery: Small Animal. *In: Veterinary*
368 *Surgery: Small Animal Eds Tobias, K. & Johnston, S. Saunders. pp 1500 -*
369 *1501*
- 370 DAVIES, J. A., FRANSSON, B. A., DAVIS, A. M., *et al.* (2015), Incidence of and
371 risk factors for postoperative regurgitation and vomiting in dogs: 244
372 cases (2000-2012). *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical*
373 *Association*, 246, 327-335.
- 374 EVANS, H. & DE LAHUNTA, A. (2012). Ch 7; The Digestive Apparatus and
375 Abdomen. *In: Miller's Anatomy of the Dog 4th edn Eds Evans, H. E. & De*
376 *Lahunta, A. Saunders. pp 304 - 307*
- 377 GASCHEN, L. (2012). Ch 27; The Canine and Feline Oesophagus. *In: Textbook of*
378 *Veterinary Diagnostic Radiology 6th edn Eds Thrall, D. Saunders. pp 500 -*
379 *517*
- 380 GENGLER, W. (2010). Ch 45; Gagging. *In: Textbook of Veterinary Internal*
381 *Medicine 7th edn Eds Ettinger, S. J. & Feldman, E. C. Saunders. pp 189 -*
382 *191*
- 383 JERGENS, A. E. (2010). Ch 267; Diseases of the Esophagus. *In: Textbook of*
384 *Veterinary Internal Medicine 7th edn Eds Ettinger, S. J. & Feldman, E. C.*
385 *Saunders. pp 1498*
- 386 KAHRILAS, P. J., KIM, H. C. & PANDOLFINO, J. E. (2008), Approaches to the
387 diagnosis and grading of hiatal hernia. *Best Practice & Research in Clinical*
388 *Gastroenterology*, 22, 601-616.
- 389 KEMPF, J., HEINRICH, H., REUSCH, C. E., *et al.* (2013), Evaluation of esophageal
390 high-resolution manometry in awake and sedated dogs. *American Journal*
391 *of Veterinary Research*, 74, 895-900.
- 392 KHAJANCHEE, Y. S., CASSERA, M. A., SWANSTROM, L. L., *et al.* (2013), Diagnosis
393 of Type-I hiatal hernia: a comparison of high-resolution manometry and
394 endoscopy. *Diseases of the Esophagus*, 26, 1-6.
- 395 KIRKBY, K. A., BRIGHT, R. M. & OWEN, H. D. (2005), Paraoesophageal hiatal
396 hernia and megaoesophagus in a three-week-old Alaskan malamute.
397 *Journal of Small Animal Practice*, 46, 402-405.
- 398 LECOINDRE, P. & RICHARD, S. (2004), Digestive disorders associated with the
399 chronic obstructive respiratory syndrome of brachycephalic dogs: 30 cases
400 (1999-2001). *Revue De Medecine Veterinaire*, 155, 141-146.
- 401 LLABRES-DIAZ, F., PETITE, A., SAUNDERS, J., *et al.* (2008). Ch 14; The Thoracic
402 Boundaries. *In: BSAVA Manual of Canine and Feline Thoracic Imaging Eds*
403 *Schwarz, T. & Johnson, V. BSAVA. pp 369 - 373*

- OVBEY, D. H., WILSON, D. V., BEDNARSKI, R. M., *et al.* (2014), Prevalence and risk factors for canine post-anesthetic aspiration pneumonia (1999-2009): a multicenter study. *Vet Anaesth Analg*, 41, 127-136.
- PONCET, C. M., DUPRE, G. P., FREICHE, V. G., *et al.* (2006), Long-term results of upper respiratory syndrome surgery and gastrointestinal tract medical treatment in 51 brachycephalic dogs. *Journal of Small Animal Practice*, 47, 137-142.
- PONCET, C. M., DUPRE, G. P., FREICHE, V. G., *et al.* (2005), Prevalence of gastrointestinal tract lesions in 73 brachycephalic dogs with upper respiratory syndrome. *Journal of Small Animal Practice*, 46, 273-279.
- PRATSCHKE, K. M., HUGHES, J. M. L., SKELLY, C., *et al.* (1998), Hiatal herniation as a complication of chronic diaphragmatic herniation. *Journal of Small Animal Practice*, 39, 33-38.
- RAHAL, S. C., MAMPRIM, M. J., MUNIZ, L. M. R., *et al.* (2003), Type-4 esophageal hiatal hernia in a Chinese Shar-pei dog. *Veterinary Radiology & Ultrasound*, 44, 646-647.
- SIVACOLUNDHU, R. K., READ, R. A. & MARCHEVSKY, A. M. (2002), Hiatal hernia controversies - a review of pathophysiology and treatment options. *Australian Veterinary Journal*, 80, 48-53.
- SUTER, P. F. & LORD, P. F. (1984). Ch 6; Abnormalities of the Diaphragm. *In: Thoracic Radiography: A text atlas of thoracic diseases of the dog and cat* Eds Suter, P. F. Wettswill. pp 199 - 200
- VANGRINSVEN, E., BROUX, O., CLAEYS, S., *et al.* Endoscopic Investigation of the gastroesophageal junction dynamics in Dogs with Brachycephalic Syndrome. Proceedings of the European College of Veterinary Internal Medicine - Companion Animal Congress, 2015 Lisbon, Portugal. 88.
- WASHABAU, R. J. (2005). Ch 18; Disorders of the Pharynx and Oesophagus. *In: BSAVA Manual of Canine and Feline Gastroenterology*, 2nd edition 2nd edn Eds Hall, E., Simpson, J. & Williams, D. BSAVA. pp 147 - 149
- WASHABAU, R. J. (2012). Ch 55; Esophagus. *In: Canine and Feline Gastroenterology* Eds Washabau, R. J. & Day, M. J. Saunders. pp 588 - 589
- WILLIAMS, J. M. (1990), Hiatal Hernia in a Shar-Pei. *Journal of Small Animal Practice*, 31, 251-254.

Table 1 legend:

Summary table of results displaying the breed, age, gender and fluoroscopic barium swallow findings.

§ This dog was the only one in which the hernia was demonstrated with the use of paddles. All other hiatal hernia were noted without artificially increasing abdominal pressure.

* These 6 dogs presented for regurgitation, recurrent or intermittent vomiting. All the other 30 patients presented primarily for BOAS.

Figure 1 legend:

Figure 1 are fluoroscopy still frame images of the liquid contrast phase in a dog that had a moderate sized hiatal hernia, oesophageal reflux and poor motility.

1A: the stomach is in a normal position, caudal to the diaphragm, and contains liquid positive contrast medium. 1B shows cranial displacement of the gastroesophageal junction into the thorax.

Figure 2 legend:

Figure 2 shows a moderate-sized hiatal hernia, demonstrating displacement of the gastroesophageal junction into the thorax, with the cardia of the stomach

460 containing gas and positive contrast-soaked kibble clearly visible cranial to the
461 diaphragm.